University of Alabama System Board Rule 415 (2/2005) **Board Submittal Checklist Criteria**

* Board Submittal Checklist No. 2 Capital Project - Stage II Submittal/1 (General Information Package and Architect Ranking)/8

Campu	IS:	Ine University of Alabama
Project	Nan	ne: Fashion and Design Building
UA Pro	oject	#:044-21-2710
Meetin	g Da	te: November 4 – 5, 2021
		Completed Board Submittal Checklist No. 2
\boxtimes		Transmittal Letter to Chancellor from Campus President requesting the project be placed on
		the agendas for the forthcoming Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees (or
		Executive Committee) meetings
\boxtimes		Proposed Board Resolution requesting approval of Stage II Submittal (Architect Ranking,
		Project Scope and Project Budget; authority to proceed with Owner/Architect contract
		negotiations)
\boxtimes	4.	Campus correspondence/photos providing supporting project information
\boxtimes	5.	Completed Executive Summary – Proposed Capital Project. /2
\boxtimes		Executive Summary – Architect, Engineer, Selection process (include Interview Outline). /3, /4,
\boxtimes	7.	Campus letter requesting approval of the ranking of firms and authority to submit to the
		Physical Properties Committee for approval – signed by the Chair of the Physical Properties
		Committee and signed by the UA System Senior Vice Chancellor for Finance and
		Administration. /6
\boxtimes	8.	Project Planning Report /2
\boxtimes		Preliminary Business Plan (if applicable) /7
\boxtimes		Campus map(s) showing Project site

Prepared by:

^{/1} Reference Tab 3H - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/2} Reference Tab 3E - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/3} Reference Tab 3K - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/4} Reference Tab 3L - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide /5 Reference Tab 3M - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/6} Reference Tab 3N - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/7} Reference Tab 3V – Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{//} Reference Tab 3.4 — South Rink 4.1 Institutional Guide

(Reference Tab 3.4 — South Rink 4.1 Institutional Guide Charcompletion of negotiations on Owner/Architect Agreement, provide notification to Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and UA System Senior Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. Reference Tab 3.4 — South Rink 4.1 Sout

Basic documents required for this Board Submittal Package include other supporting materials, correspondence, etc., as may be required to fully describe or illustrate project being submitted for approval to Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees.



September 30, 2021

Chancellor Finis E. St. John IV The University of Alabama System 500 University Boulevard East Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35401

Dear Chancellor St. John:

I am pleased to send to you for consideration by the Board of Trustees at its November 4-5, 2021 meeting the following resolution:

• Board Item – Action: Stage II submittal: Fashion and Design Building, UA Project #044-21-2710

Please contact us if you have questions or need additional information.

)

President

Enclosure



RESOLUTION

FASHION AND DESIGN BUILDING

WHEREAS, on September 17, 2021, in accordance with Board Rule 415, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved Stage I and Campus Master Plan Amendment submittals for the Fashion and Design Building project ("Project") to be located in the area of the current site of Harris Hall on Colonial Drive, south of Doster Hall; and

WHEREAS, the Project will entail construction of a facility of approximately 25,000 gross square feet (gsf) and will also improve the teaching and learning experience and collaboration within the College of Human and Environmental Sciences operations since four of the College's core buildings (Doster Hall, Adams Hall, East Annex, and the proposed Project) will be in immediate proximity; and

WHEREAS, the removal of Harris Hall and the former Alpha Gamma Delta house will provide for the construction of the new Project and a site for a new sorority; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University") has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection Process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations for the Project will be conducted with the top ranked firm following Board approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:

- 1. Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. Live Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

WHEREAS, the Project will be funded from Gifts in the amount of \$4,000,000, and University Central Reserves in the amount of \$13,500,000; and

WHEREAS, the budget for the Project remains as stipulated below:

BUDGET:	CURRENT
Construction	\$ 13,847,784
Landscaping	\$ 100,000
Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment	\$ 1,057,473
Security/Access Control	\$ 75,000
Telecommunication/Data	\$ 220,080
Contingency (5%)	\$ 697,389
UA Project Management Fee (3%)	\$ 439,355
Architect/Engineer Fee (5.9%)	\$ 822,919
Commissioning	\$ 50,000
Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing)	\$ 140,000
Other Fees and Services (testing, advertising, printing)	\$ 50,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 17,500,000

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama that Stuart R. Bell, President; Matthew M. Fajack, Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer; or those officers named in the most recent Board Resolutions granting signature authority for the University be, and each hereby is, authorized to act for and on behalf of the board to execute an engineering agreement with Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama, for architectural services in accordance with Board Rule 415 for this Project.

^{*}Contingency is based on 5% of the costs of Construction and Landscaping.

^{**}UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the costs of Construction, Landscaping, and Contingency.

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 5.9% of the costs of Construction and Landscaping.



MEMORANDUM:

To:

Stuart R. Bell

From:

Matthew M. Fajack

Subject:

Board Item – Action: \$tage II Submittal:

Fashion and Design Building UA Project #: 044-21-2710

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified architectural firms for the Fashion and Design Building Project ("Project").

The Consultant Committee's recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Senior Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration for the Project. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Project with the top ranked firm as follows:

- 1. Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. LIVE Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

The Project will be funded from Gifts in the amount of \$4,000,000, and University Central Reserves in the amount of \$13,500,000.

I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection Process – Part 1, Letter of Approval for the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Senior Vice chancellor for Finance and Administration, Qualifications Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report, and Location Map for your review. Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for November 4-5, 2021.

MMF/ccj

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers

Tim Leopard Suzanne Webster

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: November 4 – 5, 2021

CAMPUS: The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama Fashion and Design Building **PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER:** 044-21-2710 **PROJECT LOCATION:** Current site of Harris Hall on Colonial Drive, south of Doster Hall **ARCHITECT:** Requesting in this submittal THIS SUBMITTAL: PREVIOUS APPROVALS: ☐ Stage I September 17, 2021 ☐ Campus Master Plan Amendment September 17, 2021 ⊠ Stage II ☐ Stage III ☐ Stage IV

PROJECT TYPE	SPACE CATEGORIES	PERCENTAGE	GSF
☑ Building Construction	Classroom Facilities	~10%	2,424
□Building Addition	Laboratory Facilities	~ 18%	4,431
☐Building Renovation	Office Facilities	~13%	3,220
□Equipment	Study Facilities	~ 3%	680
	Special Use Facilities	~ 5%	1,292
	General Use Facilities	~10%	2,539
	Central Service/ Support	~ 15%	3,719
	Circulation Area	~14%	3,473
	Building Service Area	~ 4%	1,012
	Mechanical Area	~9%	2,210
	TOTAL	100%	25,000

BUDGET	Current
Construction	\$ 13,847,784
Landscaping	\$ 100,000
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	\$ 1,057,473
Security/Access Control	\$ 75,000
Telecommunication/Data	\$ 220,080
Contingency (5%)	\$ 697,389
UA Project Management Fee (3%)	\$ 439,355
Architect/Engineer Fee (5.9%)	\$ 822,919
Commissioning	\$ 50,000
Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing)	\$ 140,000
Other Fees and Services (testing, advertising, printing)	\$ 50,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 17,500,000

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 5.9% of the costs of Construction and Landscaping.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE ((O&M)) COSTS:
--	-------	----------

(Utilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)

25,000 sf $x \sim $7.11/sf$

\$ 177,866 \$ 177,866

Total Estimated Annual O&M Costs:

FUNDING SOURCE:

Gifts \$ 4,000,000

13,500,000 University Central Reserves \$

O&M Costs: University Annual Operating Funds \$ 177,866

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED

Total Equipment Costs:

N/A

^{*}Contingency is based on 5% of the costs of Construction and Landscaping.
*UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the costs of Construction, Landscaping, and Contingency.

RELATIONSHIP AND ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS

The Fashion and Design Building project ("Project") will allow The University of Alabama to provide significant benefits for the student experience on campus by providing students in Apparel and Textiles, as well as those from a variety of diverse disciplines, the opportunity to access this valuable collection of material culture housed in a state-of-the-art facility that will include exhibition galleries, laboratories, workrooms, a library, and a workshop/lecture/reception space. Further, this visible proposed Project location will be a vibrant site, seen by a wider community, that will integrate scholarly research, teaching, and creative activities.

The Fashion Archive, established in the 1930s, has grown over the last 90 years and has been housed in three different locations on campus. The objects in the collection range in date from the fifteenth century to present day, and represent global, national, and local perspectives of fashion. Since 1995, it has been housed in Mary Harmon Bryant Hall in spaces and storage units that are not constructed in a manner that is supportive of current collections management procedures and practices. There is no room for a conservation workroom or laboratory as most of the space has been allocated for storage with extremely limited room for scholarly engagement and interaction. And, from a curatorial standpoint, there is currently no dedicated exhibition or gallery space.

As students are developing their aesthetic, specifically for those with an entrepreneurial vision, we have committed space within this gallery for our students to practice real-world simulation by developing their own pop-up shop from the ground up. We hope to partner with other disciplines across campus such as The Edge and the Alabama Entrepreneurship Institute as they bring their creative visions from the classroom to the marketplace.

This facility will help enormously in recruitment efforts for the Apparel and Textiles major as well as growth of our graduates' areas of study. The conservation lab will enable us to add a graduate concentration in collections management and curatorial practices, subject to appropriate Board of Trustees approvals.

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

Project: Fashion and Design Building BOT Submittal: Stage II (Architect Ranking)

Meeting Date: November 4-5, 2021

Project Summary

FASHION AND DESIGN BUILDING

The Fashion and Design Building ("Project") involves the construction of a three-story brick veneer building and a full basement (four floors total), to be located at the north end of the site of the existing Harris Hall location, on Colonial Drive and south of Doster Hall. The demolition of Harris Hall will be necessary to provide a site for the Project and a future sorority site.

The proposed 25,000 gross square foot (gsf) facility will support the goal of enhancing the teaching and learning experience of the Clothing, Textiles and Interior Design Department and the Fashion Archive, as well as adding the necessary features to accommodate the expected growth and teaching needs of these programs.

With the additional space provided by the Project, The Fashion Archive will vacate 1,925 square feet (sf) in Mary Harmon Bryant Hall, which will then be utilized by the University Museums Department of Research and Collections for the future growth in faculty offices and research labs. The Project will also allow the Department of Clothing, Textiles and Interior Design's main office, a small pocket laboratory, and the Apparel and Textiles faculty offices to vacate 1,733 sf in Doster Hall, which will then be utilized as either a new classroom or for the future growth in faculty or staff offices. In addition, improved efficiency of operations within the College of Human Environmental Sciences will be achieved through the location of three of the College's core buildings (Doster Hall, Adams Hall, and the proposed Project in immediate proximity.

The Project will include a dual lecture hall/storm shelter, exhibition galleries, studio lab/classroom space, a library, conservation lab space, conference room, faculty/staff offices, student work areas, a four-stop elevator, an outdoor terrace and balcony, and other supporting areas. The exterior architecture and materials of the facility will be consistent with the surrounding buildings and will maintain the desired three-story massing above grade that is consistent with University campus academic buildings in that immediate area. The University is evaluating the reuse of the brick from Harris Hall on the facility too, which matches Doster Hall and would further ensure the blending with the building with its' environment. The basement will accommodate a high-density storage area for The Fashion Archive along with a walk-in cold storage unit, a photography studio, and a collections storage area.

In accordance with State Law, the Project will also include an International Code Council 500 compliant storm shelter into the lecture hall space for the building's occupants with an occupancy of approximately 163.

The Project will provide students in Apparel and Textiles, as well as those from a variety of diverse disciplines, the opportunity to access The Fashion Archive's valuable collection of material culture housed in a state-of-the-art facility.

Part 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

		Meeting Da	te: Noveml	per 4 – 5, 2021			
Campus:		The University of	f Alabama				
Project N	Vame:	Fashion and Desi	gn Building				
Project L	Location:	Current Harris H	all site on Co	lonial Drive, so	uth of	Doste	er Hall
Prepared	By:	Vince Dooley/Ca	ırla Coleman	Jones Dat	te: S	Septem	ber 29, 2021
Project	Type			Range of Co	onstr	uction	Costs
	Building Ren	novations	\$		to	\$	
	Building Add	dition	\$		to	\$	
\boxtimes	New Constru	ection	\$	12,000,000	to	\$	14,000,000
	Campus Infra	astructure	\$		to	\$	
	Equipment		\$		to	\$	
	Other		\$		to	\$	
Building	g Type – Grou	ıp I			Perce	entage	of Project
Building		ı p I ilding Without Spe	ecial Facilitie		Perce	entage	of Project
Building	Industrial Bu				Perce	entage	
Building	Industrial But Parking Struc	ilding Without Spe			Perce	entage	%
Building	Industrial But Parking Struc Simple Loft T	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive G	arages		Perce	entage	%
Building	Industrial But Parking Struc Simple Loft T	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive G	arages		Perco	entage	%
Building	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/U	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive G	arages		Perce	entage	%
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/U	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive G Type Structure Utility Type Build	arages	S	-		%
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/Other	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive G Type Structure Utility Type Build	arages	S	-		% % % %
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/Other	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive Grype Structure Utility Type Build	arages	S	-		% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/O Other Type – Grout Apartments at Exhibit Halls	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive Grype Structure Utility Type Build	ings	S	-		% % % % % of Project %
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/O Other Type – Grout Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive Grype Structure Utility Type Build	ings	S	-		% % % % % % of Project % %
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/O Other Type – Grout Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive Grype Structure Utility Type Build p II nd Dormitories Industrial Facilitie ng (Without Tenar	ings	S	-		% % % % % % % of Project % % % %
	Industrial But Parking Struct Simple Loft To Warehouses/O Other Type – Grout Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/ Office Building	ilding Without Spectures/Repetitive Grype Structure Utility Type Build p II Ind Dormitories Industrial Facilitie Ing (Without Tenar	ings	S	-		% % % % % % of Project % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %

	ERSITY OF ALABAMA		98.1, ALABAM
uildin	g Type – Group III	Percentage of	Project
\boxtimes	College Classroom Facilities	24	%
	Convention Facilities		%
	Extended Care Facilities		%
	Gymnasiums	-	%
	Hospitals		%
	Institutional Dining Halls		%
\boxtimes	Laboratories	44	%
	Libraries		%
	Medical Schools		%
	Medical Office Facilities and Clinics		%
	Mental Institutions		%
	Office Buildings (with tenant improvements)	32	%
	Parks		%
	Playground and Recreational Facilities		%
	Public Health Centers		%
	Research Facilities		%
	Stadiums		%
	Central Utilities Plants		%
	Water Supply and Distribution Plants		%
	Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems		%
	Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary		
	Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent projects		%

Building	g Type – Group IV	Percentage of Project
	Aquariums	%
	Auditoriums	
	Art Galleries	
	College Buildings with special features	
	Communications Buildings	
	Special Schools	
	Theaters and similar facilities	9/0
	Other	%

Building Type – Group V	Percentage of Project
Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings	%
Other	
Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities	
Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fee.	I I VEC IXI NOI
Building Program Development	
Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensi Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiri an adjustment in A/E Fees?	
Construction Consultant Services	
Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who we perform some of the services normally provided by the Archite requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees?	
Multiple Prime Trade Contracts	
Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multip Trade Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E?	ole 🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Design Build Services	
Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will res in a reduction in contracted design services and a correspondi adjustment in A/E Fees?	
Architect/Engineer Project Notifications	
Advertised through State Division of Construction Manager	nent
Local/State Trade Journals	
Posted on Campus Web Pages	
Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms	
Other: Newspaper and email distribution list	

Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)

- 1. Suzanne Webster, Senior Project Manager
- 2. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
- 3. Jason Bigelow, University Architect
- 4. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings and Design
- 5. Dr. Stuart Usdan, Dean, College of Human Environmental Sciences
- 6. Dr. Luoheng Han, Senior Associate Provost for Academic Affairs

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:

- 1. Barganier Davis Williams Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- 2 Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. LIVE Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- 4. Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee

- 1. Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. LIVE Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

Reviewed	and	approved	by:
----------	-----	----------	-----

DocuSigned by:

Suzanne Webster

Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer

The University of Alabama

Architectural Presentation outline

Fashion and Design Building UA Project No. 044-21-2710

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Maximum 6 points)

- A. Describe your firm's experience working with other universities and state agencies.
- B. Describe your firm's experience working with The University of Alabama.
 - a. The UA desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.
- C. The UA encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm's approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Maximum 12 points)

- A. Identify and describe the proposed team's past experience providing A/E services that are <u>identical or similar</u> to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
- B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
 - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information.

The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.

C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Maximum 6 points)

- A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).
- B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Maximum 4 points)

- A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the UA insurance requirements? (See attached)
- B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Maximum 12 points)

- A. Describe your experience with exhibition/gallery spaces and any emerging trends.
- B. Describe and provide examples of your firm's sensitivity to the massing, architectural design and detailing of a new building within the surrounding context.
- C. Generally describe your firm's approach to facilities/spaces that have dual usage as a storm shelter.

Oral Interview Criteria/Focus Fashion and Design Building

UA Project No. 044-21-2710 Date: September 29, 2021

1. <u>Welcome/Introduction</u> (time allotted = 5 minutes)

- a. Design Team
 - i. Brief introduction of your firm/team.
 - ii. Proposed consultants (engineers and specialty consultants are not required to be present at interviews).

2. <u>Classrooms and Collaboration Facility Expertise</u> 6 points max (time allotted = 10 minutes)

- a. Describe your team's expertise with classroom and collaboration spaces and how they are integrated with multi-functional facilities, emerging technologies, and evolving teaching models.
- b. Provide insights as to recommendations and pedagogical priorities specific to a fashion and design building.

3. Design Opportunities/Feedback - 20 points max (time allotted = 25 minutes)

- a. Please review the project description and programming information and provide design feedback and ideas that you feel could enhance this project.
- b. Provide observations as to the project site and opportunities & challenges relative to public entry, vehicle and pedestrian experience and service access.
- c. Describe your firm's approach to facilities/spaces that have dual usage as a storm shelter.
- d. Provide examples of classical building design, massing, scale, etc. to ensure this new facility will meet the University's design language and context for academic buildings.

4. Roles & Execution - 4 points max (time allotted = 5 minutes)

- a. Design and construction roles
 - i. Explain your firm's day to day roles and responsibilities for the project.
 - ii. For the leadership roles, explain the hierarchy of roles and who is ultimately accountable for project success.
- b. Provide a proposed Design & Construction schedule, with the assumption for a Stage III submittal to the Board of Trustees by February 2022.
 - i. Visual appearance target completion by mid-December
 - ii. Anticipated Bid Date End of July
- 5. Questions & Answers (time allotted = 15 minutes)



September 29, 2021

Dr. Dana S. Keith Senior Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration Sid McDonald Hall 500 University Boulevard, East Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Trustee Karen Brooks Chair, Physical Properties Committee 2555 14th Street, East Tuscaloosa, AL 35404

RE: Consultant Selection Process – Part 1

Fashion and Design Building UA Project No: 044-21-2710

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Brooks,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on September 17, 2021, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the Fashion and Design Building Project ("Project") to be located at the current Harris Hall site on Colonial Drive, south of Doster Hall, at a preliminary total Project budget amount of \$17,500,000.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience, and proposed team members by September 15, 2021.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on September 28, 2021, interviewed the following architectural firms:

- Barganier Davis Williams Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- LIVE Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

- 1. Davis Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. LIVE Design Group, Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Seay, Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

Fashion and Design Building September 29, 2021 Page 2

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

- 1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise and experience with exhibition and gallery spaces, integrating dual usage of a storm shelter within the facility, and design and detailing of a new building within the surrounding campus context.
- 2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the Project.
- 3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff, and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University's schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
- 4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

- 1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
- 2. Approval to submit these rankings to the Physical Properties Committee for consideration.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew M. Fajack

Vice President for Finance and Operations

and Treasurer

MMF/ccj

Attachment

pc/atchmt:

Michael Rodgers

Tim Leopard Suzanne Webster Fashion and Design Building September 21, 2018 Page 3

The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the November 4, 2021, Physical Properties Committee.

—DocuSigned by: Duna S Kedth

Dr. Dana S. Keith: Recommend for Approval

Senior Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

-DocuSigned by:

karen P. Brooks

Trustee Karen Brooks: Approval Recommended
Chair of the Physical Properties Committee

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SYSTEM

PROJECT PLANNING REPORT DATE: NOVEMBER 4 - 5, 2021

X INITIAL REPORT

				FINA	AL REPORT ORT NO.
TO:	OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLO BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF TH		OF ALABAMA		
FROM:	OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABA	AMA			
	1. PROJECT:	Fashion and D	esign Building		
	2. LOCATION:	Current Harris	Hall on Colonial Drive, south of Doster Hall		
	3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER:	Requesting in	this submittal		
	4. PROJECT STATUS: A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN		DATE INITIATED % COMPLETE * DATE COMPLETED		September-21 0% November-21
	B. PRELIMINARY DESIG	N:	DATE INITIATED % COMPLETE * DATE COMPLETED		December-21 0% March-22
	C. CONSTRUCTION DOC	UMENTS:	DATE INITIATED % COMPLETE * DATE COMPLETED		March-22 0% May-22
	D. SCHEDULED BID DAT	TE:			
	L. TOTAL PROJECT COS *Contingency is based on 5% of the	& EQUIPMENT ONTROL N/DATA EMENT FEE** (39 R FEE *** (5.9%) CONSTRUCTION VICES (TESTING ST costs of Construction assed on 3% of the costs	N MATERIALS TESTING) G, ADVERTISING, PRINTING) and Landscaping. of Construction, Landscaping, and Contingency.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CURRENT 13,847,784 100,000 1,057,473 75,000 220,080 697,389 439,355 822,919 50,000 140,000 50,000 17,500,000
	6. FUNDING/RESOURCES:	Gifts - \$4,000,0 University Cent	000 tral Reserves - \$13,500,000		
* FINAL A	7. REMARKS AGENCY APPROVAL	SUBMITTED	BY: time eggs	<u></u>	

FASHION AND DESIGN BUILDING

Campus Master Plan Amendment Approved September 17, 2021



