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RESOLUTION

UNIVERSITY SERVICES CAMPUS RENOVATIONS

WHEREAS, on September 12, 2014, the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees approved the purchase of the Partlow Campus, comprised of Parcel 1 containing 42.19 acres and Parcel 2 containing 75.48 acres for a total of 117.67 acres; and

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2015, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Campus Master Plan Amendment for the Partlow Campus which recognized the use of the campus for business and support functions and the uses proposed herein are consistent with that plan; and

WHEREAS, on November 3, 2017, in accordance with Board Rule 415, the Board approved the Stage I submittal for the University Services Campus Renovations project ("Project") located at the former Partlow Campus on Ruby Tyler Parkway; and

WHEREAS, on November 3, 2017, the Board approved an amendment to the Campus Master Plan to include the retention of Building 1024 (Cottage 1); and

WHEREAS, the Project will entail the renovation of four (4) buildings totaling approximately 59,302 gross square feet for use by Construction Administration (Building 1024 – formerly Cottage 1), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023 – formerly Cottage 4), Furnishings and Design (Building 1021 – formerly Cottage 3) and Environmental Health and Safety (Building 1017 – formerly Cottage 7); and

WHEREAS, due to the schedule, number of users, and varying program needs required by the user groups for each building, two Architectural/Engineering Selection process interviews were conducted December 7, 2017 in order to ensure adequate design capacity to meet the schedule; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University") has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection Process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations for the Environmental Health and Safety building (Building 1017) will be conducted following Board approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:
1. Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection Process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations for the Furnishings and Design (Building 1021), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023) and Construction Administration (Building 1024) buildings will be conducted following Board approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:
1. Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama
2. Charles Williams & Associates, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

WHEREAS, the Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the University Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards and the principles contained therein; and

WHEREAS, the Project will be funded from University Funds in the amount of $9,431,296; and

WHEREAS, the budget for this Project remains as stipulated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUDGET:</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction – Construction Administration, building 1024</td>
<td>$ 1,764,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction – Design and Engineering, building 1023</td>
<td>$ 1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction – Furnishings and Design, building 1021</td>
<td>$ 1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction – Environmental Health and Safety, building 1017</td>
<td>$ 1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>$ 80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment</td>
<td>$ 601,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security/Access Control</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunication/Data</td>
<td>$ 200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency* (10%)</td>
<td>$ 684,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA Project Management Fee** (3%)</td>
<td>$ 225,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~8.5%)</td>
<td>$ 574,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing)</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fees and Services (testing, advertising, printing)</td>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COST</strong></td>
<td>$ 9,431,296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contingency is based on 10% of the total cost of construction and landscaping.
**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.8% of the total cost of construction plus a 1.25 renovation factor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama that Stuart R. Bell, President, Lynda Gilbert, Vice President for Financial Affairs and Treasurer, or those officers named in the most recent Board
Resolutions granting signature authority for the University be, and each hereby is, authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board to execute an architectural agreement with Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama, for the Environmental Health and Safety building (Building 1017) and Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama, Montgomery, Alabama for the Furnishings and Design (Building 1021), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023) and Construction Administration (Building 1024) buildings for architectural services in accordance with Board Rule 415 for this Project.
January 5, 2018

To: Stuart R. Bell

From: Lynda Gilbert

Subject: Board Item – Action: Stage II Submittal: University Services Campus Renovations

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified architectural firms for the University Services Campus Renovations project ("Project"). Due to the schedule, number of users, and varying programs needs required by the user groups for each building, two Architectural/Engineering Selection process interviews were conducted December 7, 2017 in order to ensure adequate design capacity to meet the schedule.

The Consultant Committee’s recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration for the respective Projects. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Environmental Health and Safety building (Building 1017) with the top ranked firms as follows:

1. Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama

The University is also requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Furnishings and Design (Building 1021), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023), and Construction Administration (Building 1024) buildings with the top ranked firms as follows:

1. Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama
2. Charles Williams & Associates, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

The Project will be funded from University Funds in the amount of $9,431,296. This Project will eliminate $9,431,296 in campus deferred maintenance liability.

This Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards, and the principles contained therein.
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I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection process – Part 1, Letter of Approval from the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, Interview Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report and Location map for your review. Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action Item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for February 8 – 9, 2018.

LG/ccj

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers
                Michael Lanier
                Tim Leopard
                Tom Love
                Carl McKinney
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: February 8 – 9, 2018

CAMPUS: The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama

PROJECT NAME: University Services Campus Renovations

PROJECT LOCATION: Former Partlow Campus on Ruby Tyler Parkway

ARCHITECT: Requesting in this submittal

---

THIS SUBMITTAL:       PREVIOUS APPROVALS:

☐ Stage I
☒ Stage II
☐ Stage III
☐ Stage IV

November 3, 2017

---

PROJECT TYPE  SPACE CATEGORIES  PERCENTAGE  GSF

☐ New Construction
☐ Building Addition
☒ Building Renovation  Office & Administrative  100%  59,302
☐ Campus Infrastructure
☐ Equipment
☐ Other

TOTAL  100%  59,302

---

BUDGET  Current

Construction – Construction Administration, building 1024  $ 1,764,200
Construction – Design and Engineering, building 1023  $ 1,800,000
Construction – Furnishings and Design, building 1021  $ 1,600,000
Construction – Environmental Health and Safety, building 1017  $ 1,600,000
Landscaping  $ 80,000
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment  $ 601,860
Security/Access Control  $ 100,000
Telecommunication/Data  $ 200,000
Contingency* (10%)  $ 684,420
UA Project Management Fee** (3%)  $ 225,859
Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~8.5%)  $ 574,957
Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing)  $ 100,000
Other Fees and Services (testing, advertising, printing)  $ 100,000

TOTAL PROJECT COST  $ 9,431,296

*Contingency is based on 10% of the total cost of construction and landscaping.
**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.8% of the total cost of construction plus a 1.25 renovation factor.
ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) COSTS:
(Untilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59,302 GSF x ~$7.02/GSF:</td>
<td>$416,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL O&amp;M COSTS:</td>
<td>$416,443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FUNDING SOURCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Outlay</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University Funds</td>
<td>$9,431,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M Costs: University Annual Operating Funds</td>
<td>$416,443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:

N/A

RELATIONSHIP & ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS:

The University Services Campus (USC) (former Partlow Campus) Renovations project ("Project") will provide space for the growth needs of service support departments that have been assigned to the USC. These non-academic units support the campus operations, growth, and improvements. This off-campus location supports their mission and allows their operation to occur in a back-of-house location.

The assigned departments work extensively with external vendors and the public and this new location will provide ease of access for users and external partners. This reassignment from the core campus area will then provide for the reallocation of the vacated space or land to departments and programs that are integrally involved with students and faculty.

Overall, this Project will provide approximately 59,302 gross square feet (GSF) of total space comprised in four (4) buildings for offices, meeting rooms, and support facilities.

The four (4) departments include Environmental Health and Safety, Construction Administration, Design and Engineering, and Furnishings and Design. The Departments will be co-located to support necessary functional adjacency and collaboration, which will support efficient operations.
Project Summary

UNIVERSITY SERVICES CAMPUS RENOVATIONS

The University Services Campus (USC) (former Partlow Campus) Renovations project ("Project") will provide space for growth needs of service support departments that have been assigned to the USC. These non-academic units support campus operations, growth, and improvements. This off-campus location supports their mission and allows their operation to occur in a back-of-house location. The assigned departments work extensively with external vendors and the public and this new location will provide ease of access for users and external partners. Overall, this Project will provide approximately 59,302 gross square feet (GSF) of total space comprised in four (4) buildings for offices, meeting rooms, and support facilities.

The scope of renovations for all four (4) facilities is similar and primarily address code compliance, HVAC, plumbing issues, interior finishes, and technology integration. This includes, but is not limited to:

- Replacement of the fire alarm system to comply with current code and campus standards including the event notification system.
- Installation of a new mechanical system. The Alabama Department of Mental Health ("ADMH") previously decommissioned the campus steam system and chilled water; however, there was no conditioned air in the building.
- Integration with the University’s building automation system to ensure centrally controlled and diagnostic capabilities.
- Installation of new technology infrastructure including phone, data and wifi.
- Installation of new lighting and lighting control systems.
- Installation of new audio/visual equipment as needed to support meetings and training.
- Replacement of existing plumbing systems and fixtures.
- Installation of new security and access control components.
- General exterior painting and refurbishment.

As new roofs were installed on these buildings in the early 2000s, the exterior envelopes are in better condition than the Kidd Building, where Environmental Health and Safety ("EHS") is currently located. EHS will utilize building 1017, formerly labeled as Cottage 7, which contains 14,375 GSF. The Kidd Building that EHS currently occupies is shown to be removed on the 2017 Master Campus Plan to facilitate construction of the new Alumni Hall. Furthermore, the existing building has significant deferred maintenance issues and does not include important code requirements to appropriately support EHS operations. The renovated facility will support all EHS functions and programs including Fire Safety, Environmental Compliance including MS4
permitting (requirement for stormwater) and Chemical Management, Food Safety, General Safety and Hazardous Materials Management.

As previously included in Tab 4 of the Annual Consolidated Capital Projects and Facilities Report, Project Delivery Services is comprised of Construction Administration, Design and Engineering Services, and Furnishings and Design. The Departments will be co-located with one another to support necessary functional adjacency and collaboration. Construction Administration will be located in building 1024 (former Cottage 1) which is comprised of 15,276 GSF. Design and Engineering Services will be located in building 1023 (former Cottage 4) which is comprised of 15,276 GSF. Furnishings and Design will be located in building 1021 (former Cottage 3) which is comprised of 14,375 GSF.
Dr. Dana S. Keith  
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration  
Sid McDonald Hall  
500 University Boulevard, East  
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Trustee James W. Wilson, III  
Chair, Physical Properties Committee  
Chairman and CEO  
Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC  
2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100  
Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process – Part 1  
University Services Campus Renovations  
Furnishings and Design (Building 1021)  
Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023)  
Construction Administration (Building 1024)

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on November 3, 2017, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the University Services Campus Renovations project ("Project") at a projected cost of $9,431,296. The renovations for the Furnishings and Design (Building 1021), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023) and Construction Administration (Building 1024) buildings were included in the Project at a cost of $5,164,200.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database, and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by November 16, 2017.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on December 7, 2017, interviewed the following architectural firms for the Furnishings and Design (Building 1021), Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023) and Construction Administration (Building 1024) buildings:
University Services Campus Renovations
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- Charles Williams & Associates, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
- Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama

The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

1. Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama
2. Charles Williams & Associates, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise with renovating office facilities and support spaces to support an efficient and collaborative work environment.
2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the Project.
3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University’s schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:
1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
2. Approval to submit these rankings to the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lynda Gilbert
Vice President for Financial Affairs
and Treasurer

Attachment
The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

Dr. Dana S. Keith: Recommend for Approval
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

Trustee James W. Wilson, III: Approval Recommended
Chair of the Physical Properties Committee
The University of Alabama
Architectural Presentation Outline

University Services Campus
Furnishing and Design (Building 1021)
Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023)
Construction Administration (1024)
UA Project No. 1024-18-1349

ONE: RESPONDENT’S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1-5)
   A. Describe your firm’s experience working with other universities and state agencies.
   B. Describe your firm’s experience working with The University of Alabama.
      a. The UA desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked
         firm is selected.
   C. The UA encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-
      owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm’s approach in soliciting
      certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT’S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1-5)
   A. Identify and describe the proposed team’s past experience providing A/E services that are
      identical or similar to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of
      priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
   B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
      • The Owner’s name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during
        the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact
        information.
        The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.
   C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design
      project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1-5)
   A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims,
      arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent
      details and outcome(s).
   B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested
      arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes,
      please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT’S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Score 1-5)
   A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the UA insurance requirements?
      (see attached)
   B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1-5)
   A. Describe some of your design or construction challenges and solutions you may have
      encountered during major renovations of existing buildings.
   B. What is your design approach or methodology and standard of care with renovation projects?
1. **Welcome/Introduction** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
   a. Design Team
      i. Brief Introduction of your firm and the person or team who is ultimately responsible for project success.

2. **Renovation and Fit-out Expertise** *(time allotted = 15 minutes)*
   a. Describe your team’s expertise with this project type. Reference one *identical* or *similar* project and discuss any challenges and solutions during design and construction.

3. **Project Design Schedule** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
   a. Provide a proposed design and construction schedule for this project assuming a BOT Approval in February.

4. **Questions & Answers** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
# Part 1

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL**

Meeting Date: February 8 – 9, 2018

**Campus:** The University of Alabama

**Project Name:**
- University Services Campus Renovations
- Furnishings and Design (Building 1021)
- Design and Engineering Services (Building 1023)
- Construction Administration (Building 1024)

**Project Location:** Partlow Campus on Ruby Tyler Parkway

**Prepared By:** Vince Dooley/Carla Coleman Jones  
**Date:** December 13, 2017

### Project Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Range of Construction Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔ Building Renovations</td>
<td>$5,150,000 to $6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Building Addition</td>
<td>$ to $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ New Construction</td>
<td>$ to $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Campus Infrastructure</td>
<td>$ to $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Equipment</td>
<td>$ to $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>$ to $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building Type – Group I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Industrial Building Without Special Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Parking Structures/Repetitive Garages</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Simple Loft Type Structure</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Warehouses/Utility Type Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Type - Group II</td>
<td>Percentage of Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Apartments and Dormitories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Exhibit Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Manufacture/Industrial Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Office Building (Without Tenant Improvements)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Printing Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Service Garage/Facility</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Other (Storm Shelter and Multi-Purpose Event)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type - Group III</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes College Classroom Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Convention Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Extended Care Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Gymnasiums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Hospitals</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Institutional Dining Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Laboratories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Libraries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Medical Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Medical Office Facilities and Clinics</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Mental Institutions</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Office Buildings (with tenant improvements)</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Parks</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Playground and Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Public Health Centers</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Research Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Stadiums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Central Utilities Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Water Supply and Distribution Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent projects</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Building Type – Group IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquariums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditoriums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Galleries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Buildings with special features</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters and similar facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building Type – Group V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities

Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design or duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fees?

- Yes
- No

### Building Program Development

Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees?

- Yes
- No

### Construction Consultant Services

Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who will perform some of the services normally provided by the Architect requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees?

- Yes
- No

### Multiple Prime Trade Contracts

Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multiple Trade Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E?

- Yes
- No
Design Build Services

Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will result in a reduction in contracted design services and a corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees?

☐ Yes  ☒ No

Architect/Engineer Project Notifications

☐ Advertised through State Building Commission
☐ Local/State Trade Journals
☒ Posted on Campus Web Pages
☒ Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms
☒ Other: Newspaper and email distribution list

Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)
1. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
2. Garrett Goodman, Staff Architect
3. Dan Wolfe, University Planner and Designer
4. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings and Design

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:
2. Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee
1. Payne Design Group, Montgomery, Alabama
2. Charles Williams & Associates, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Herrington Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

[Signature]
Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

[Signature]
Vice President for Financial Affairs and Treasurer

Architect/Engineer Selection
University Services Campus Renovations-F&D, DES, CA
December 13, 2017

Dr. Dana S. Keith  
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration  
Sid McDonald Hall  
500 University Boulevard, East  
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Trustee James W. Wilson, III  
Chair, Physical Properties Committee  
Chairman and CEO  
Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC  
2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100  
Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process – Part 1  
University Services Campus Renovations,  
Environmental Health & Safety (Building 1071)

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on November 3, 2017, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the University Services Campus Renovations project ("Project") at a projected cost of $9,431,296. The Environmental Health & Safety Renovations for building 1017 was included in the Project at a cost of $1,600,000.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database, and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by November 16, 2017.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on December 7, 2017, interviewed the following architectural firms:

- Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
- Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
- Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama
The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

1. Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise with renovating office facilities and support spaces.
2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the Project.
3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University’s schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
2. Approval to submit these rankings to the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lynda Gilbert
Vice President for Financial Affairs
and Treasurer

Attachment

pc/atchmt: Michael Rodgers
          Michael Lanier
          Tim Leopard
          Tom Love
          Carl McKinney
The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

Dr. Dana S. Keith: **Recommend for Approval**
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

Trustee James W. Wilson, III: **Approval Recommended**
Chair of the Physical Properties Committee
Part 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS
BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: February 8 – 9, 2018

Campus: The University of Alabama
Project Name: University Services Campus Renovations, Environmental Health & Safety (Building 1017)
Project Location: Partlow Campus on Ruby Tyler Parkway
Prepared By: Vince Dooley/Carla Coleman Jones  Date: December 13, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Range of Construction Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ Building Renovations</td>
<td>$ 1,550,000 to $ 1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Building Addition</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ New Construction</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Campus Infrastructure</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Equipment</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Other</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Building Type – Group I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Building Without Special Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Structures/Repetitive Garages</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Loft Type Structure</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouses/Utility Type Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Building Type – Group II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apartments and Dormitories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture/Industrial Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building (Without Tenant Improvements)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Garage/Facility</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Storm Shelter and Multi-Purpose Event)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Type - Group III</td>
<td>Percentage of Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Classroom Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Care Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnasiums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Dining Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Office Facilities and Clinics</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Institutions</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Buildings (with tenant improvements)</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground and Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Centers</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadiums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Utilities Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply and Distribution Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent projects</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type - Group IV</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquariums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditoriums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Galleries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Buildings with special features</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaters and similar facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Type – Group V</td>
<td>Percentage of Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design or duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fees?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Program Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Consultant Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who will perform some of the services normally provided by the Architect requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple Prime Trade Contracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multiple Trade Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Build Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will result in a reduction in contracted design services and a corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architect/Engineer Project Notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Advertised through State Building Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Local/State Trade Journals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Posted on Campus Web Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other: Newspaper and email distribution list</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Architect/Engineer Selection

University Services Campus Renovations, Environmental Health & Safety (Building 1017)
Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)
1. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
2. Garrett Goodman, Staff Architect
3. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings and Design
4. Dan Wolfe, University Planner and Designer

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:
1. Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee
1. Studio 2H Design, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Simonton Black Architects, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama
3. Designform, Incorporated, Birmingham, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

[Signature]
Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

[Signature]
Vice President for Financial Affairs and Treasurer
ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1-5)
   A. Describe your firm's experience working with other universities and state agencies.
   B. Describe your firm's experience working with The University of Alabama.
      a. The UA desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top
         ranked firm is selected.
   C. The UA encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified
      women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm’s approach in
      soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1-5)
   A. Identify and describe the proposed team's past experience providing A/E services that
      are identical or similar to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in
      order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
   B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
      - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day
        liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including
        current contact information.
        The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.
   C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a
      design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1-5)
   A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims,
      arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give
      pertinent details and outcome(s).
   B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or
      requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction
      projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Score 1-5)
   A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the UA insurance
      requirements? (see attached)
   B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1-5)
   A. Describe some of your design or construction challenges and solutions you may have
      encountered during major renovations of existing buildings.
   B. What is your design approach or methodology and standard of care with renovation
      projects?
1. **Welcome/Introduction** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
   a. Design Team
      i. Brief Introduction of your firm and the person or team who is ultimately responsible for project success.

2. **Renovation and Fit-out Expertise** *(time allotted = 15 minutes)*
   a. Describe your team’s expertise with this project type. Reference one identical or similar project and discuss any challenges and solutions during design and construction.

3. **Project Design Schedule** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
   a. Provide a proposed design and construction schedule for this project assuming a BOT Approval in February.

4. **Questions and Answers** *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
TO: OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

FROM: OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

1. PROJECT: University Services Campus Renovations

2. LOCATION: Former Partlow Campus on Ruby Tyler Parkway

3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: Requesting in this submittal

4. PROJECT STATUS:
   A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN:
      DATE INITIATED: February-18  
      % COMPLETE: 0%  
      * DATE COMPLETED (Projected): March-18
   
   B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN:
      DATE INITIATED: March-18  
      % COMPLETE: 0%  
      * DATE COMPLETED: April-18
   
   C. CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS:
      DATE INITIATED: April-18  
      % COMPLETE: 0%  
      * DATE COMPLETED: May-18
   
   D. SCHEDULED BID DATE: June-18

5. CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET:
   A. CONSTRUCTION - CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION, BUILDING 1024  
      CURRENT: $1,764,200
   B. CONSTRUCTION - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING, BUILDING 1023  
      CURRENT: $1,800,000
   C. CONSTRUCTION - FURNISHINGS AND DESIGN, BUILDING 1021  
      CURRENT: $1,600,000
   D. CONSTRUCTION - ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND SAFETY, BUILDING 1017  
      CURRENT: $1,600,000
   E. LANDSCAPING  
      CURRENT: $80,000
   F. FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT  
      CURRENT: $601,860
   G. SECURITY/ACCESS CONTROL  
      CURRENT: $100,000
   H. TELECOMMUNICATION/DATA  
      CURRENT: $200,000
   I. CONTINGENCY* (10%)  
      CURRENT: $664,420
   J. UA PROJECT MANAGEMENT FEE** (3%)  
      CURRENT: $225,859
   K. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FEE*** (~8.5%)  
      CURRENT: $574,957
   L. EXPENSES (GEOTECH, CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TESTING)  
      CURRENT: $100,000
   M. OTHER FEES AND SERVICES (TESTING, ADVERTISING, PRINTING)  
      CURRENT: $100,000
   N. TOTAL PROJECT COST  
      CURRENT: $9,431,296

   *Contingency is based on 10% of the total cost of construction and landscaping.
   **UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
   ***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 8.5% of the total cost of construction plus a 1.25 renovation factor.

6. FUNDING/RESOURCES: University Funds - $9,431,296

7. REMARKS

* FINAL AGENCY APPROVAL  
SUBMITTED BY: [Signature]
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