University of Alabama System
Board Rule 415 (2/2005)
Board Submittal Checklist Criteria

*** Board Submittal Checklist No. 2**
Capital Project – Stage II Submittal/1
(Architect Ranking)/8

Campus: The University of Alabama
Project Name: University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
UA Project #: 018-20-2305
Meeting Date: April 9 – 10, 2020

* ☒ 1. Completed Board Submittal Checklist No. 2
☒ 2. Transmittal Letter to Chancellor from Campus President requesting the project be placed on the agendas for the forthcoming Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees (or Executive Committee) meetings
☒ 3. Proposed Board Resolution requesting approval of Stage II Submittal (Architect Ranking, Project Scope and Project Budget; authority to proceed with Owner/Architect contract negotiations)
☒ 4. Campus correspondence/photos providing supporting project information
☒ 5. Completed Executive Summary – Proposed Capital Project. /2
☒ 6. Executive Summary – Architect, Engineer, Selection process (include Interview Outline). /3, /4, /5
☒ 7. Campus letter requesting approval of the ranking of firms and authority to submit to the Physical Properties Committee for approval – signed by the Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and signed by the UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. /6
☒ 8. Project Planning Report/2
☐ 9. Preliminary Business Plan (if applicable)/7
☒ 10. Campus map(s) showing Project site

Prepared by: Carla Coleman Jones

Approved by: Tim Leopard

/1 Reference Tab 3H - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/2 Reference Tab 3E - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/3 Reference Tab 3K - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/4 Reference Tab 3L - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/5 Reference Tab 3M - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/6 Reference Tab 3N – Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/7 Reference Tab 3V – Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/8 After completion of negotiations on Owner/Architect Agreement, provide notification to Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. Reference Tab 3-O-Board Rule 415, Instructional Guide

* Basic documents required for this Board Submittal Package include other supporting materials, correspondence, etc., as may be required to fully describe or illustrate project being submitted for approval to Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA® | Office of the President

March 13, 2020

Chancellor Finis E. St. John IV
The University of Alabama System
500 University Boulevard East
Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35401

Dear Chancellor St. John:

I am pleased to send to you for consideration by the Board of Trustees at its April 10, 2020 meeting the following resolution:

- Board Item – Action: Stage II Submittal:
  University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
  UA Project #018-20-2305

Please contact us if you have questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Stuart R. Bell
President

Enclosure

203 Rose Administration Building | Box 870100 | Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0100 | 205-348-5100 | Fax 205-348-7238
president@ua.edu | http://www.ua.edu

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA® | Division of Finance and Operations

MEMO

March 13, 2020

To: Stuart R. Bell

From: Matthew M. Fajack

Subject: Board Item – Action: Stage II submittal:
University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
UA Project #018-20-2305

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified architectural firms for the University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging project ("Project"). The Consultant Committee's recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Project with the top ranked firms as follows:

1. Williams Blackstock Architects P. C., Birmingham, Alabama
2. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
3. TRO Jung/Brannen Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

The Project will be funded from Office of Academic Affairs Research Reserves in the amount of $4,976,882 and Office for Research and Economic Development Research Reserves in the amount of $2,535,838.

This Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards, and the principles contained therein.

I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection process – Part 1, Letter of Approval from the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, Interview Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report and Location map for your review. Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action Item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for April 9 – 10, 2020.

MMF/ccj

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers, Tim Leopard, Grant Ward, Michael Lanier, Sommer Coleman

271 Rose Administration Building | Box 870142 | Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0142 | 205-348-4530 | Fax 205-348-9633

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: April 9 – 10, 2020

CAMPUS:	The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
PROJECT NAME:	**University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging**
PROJECT LOCATION:	850 Peter Bryce Boulevard
ARCHITECT:	Requesting in this submittal

THIS SUBMITTAL:	**PREVIOUS APPROVALS:**
☐ Stage I	February 6 – 7, 2020
☒ Stage II	
☐ Stage III	
☐ Stage IV	

PROJECT TYPE	**SPACE CATEGORIES**	**PERCENTAGE**	**GSF**
☐ New Construction	Shell Space	18%	1,100
☒ Building Addition	Research Space	22%	1,400
☐ Building Renovation		60%	3,800
☐ Other			
	TOTAL	**100%**	**6,300**

BUDGET		**Current**
Construction	$	3,790,000
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	$	150,000
Owner Purchased Furniture	$	2,500,000
Landscaping	$	50,000
Security/Access Control	$	25,000
Telecommunication/Data	$	50,000
Contingency* (10%)	$	384,000
UA Project Management Fee** 3%)	$	126,720
Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~8.13%)	$	312,000
Expenses (Surveys, Testing, Inspections)	$	25,000
Other Fees and Services (Advertising, Printing, Postage)	$	100,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST	**$**	**7,512,720**

*Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction and landscaping.
**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.5% of the cost of construction and landscaping plus a 1.25 renovation factor.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) COSTS:		
(Utilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)		
6,300 GSF x ~$10.89/GSF	$	68,632*
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL O&M COSTS:	**$**	**68,632***

***Not inclusive of MRI O & M**

FUNDING SOURCE:		
Capital Outlay:		
Office of Academic Affairs Research Reserves	$	4,976,882
Office for Research and Economic Development Research Reserves		2,535,838
O&M Costs: University Annual Operating Funds	$	68,632

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:

N/A

RELATIONSHIP & ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS:

The University Medical Center Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging project ("Project") will impact the Sports Medicine, Psychology, Educational Neuroscience and Engineering programs as well as provide undergraduate research opportunities. The capability to study the in-tact human body, particularly, the brain with MRI is an essential element of propelling The University of Alabama ("University") to becoming a top-ranked research university. Having such system will positively affect faculty research, faculty and graduate student recruitment and retention as well as enhance undergraduate training.

Use of the MRI for the Sports Medicine Clinic will permit the fast diagnosis of sports injuries. Additionally, the MRI system will allow for expanded research in the study of muscle, joint and bone injury, as well as concussion.

Human neuroscience has become critical to Psychology. Having a research MRI facility at the University will allow for the growth of experimental psychology and neuroscience undergraduate and graduate programs on campus. It will also boost the research of the strong clinical psychologists by being able to study the neural bases of psychological disorders.

The new educational Neuroscience program in the College of Education will benefit significantly from having research dedicated equipment that allows for the study of the neural bases of learning. The acquisition of the MRI system will allow faculty to expand their research and engage undergraduate students in their work.

Having MRI on campus also opens the door for research that involves the College of Engineering. Imaging and time series analyses, MRI data acquisition and pulse programming, artificial intelligence and machine learning, and instrumentation development are only a few areas related to MR that would involve engineering.

Human neuroscience is a growing area of interest for undergraduates because it touches several disciplines. Having an MRI system will create an opportunity for undergraduates to learn more about how brain imaging, neuroscience and related research is done.

Human imaging – both body imaging and brain imaging – will transform the research landscape of the campus and will attract top faculty, graduate students and undergraduates.

ATTACHMENT NO. 1
Project: University Medical Center –
Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
BOT Submittal: Stage II
Meeting Date: April 9 – 10, 2020

Project Summary

UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER – ADDITION FOR MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

The University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging project ("Project") will consist of the addition of 6,300 square feet (sf) to accommodate a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) machine suite at the University Medical Center located at 850 Peter Bryce Boulevard. The addition would include one MRI room, shell space for a future MRI and necessary support, reception, restrooms and office space.

In addition to medical diagnostic applications in support of the Sports Medicine Clinic operations, the capability to study the in-tact human body, particularly the brain, with MRI is an essential element of propelling The University of Alabama ("University") to becoming a top-ranked research university. Every top research university has a dedicated research ready MRI system ("System"). Having such a System will positively affect faculty research, faculty and graduate student recruitment and retention as well as enhance undergraduate training. The high-field MRI system will impact the following programs: Sports Medicine, Psychology, Educational Neuroscience, Engineering, and Undergraduate Research, while also assisting in maintaining the Carnegie Doctorial Granting classification.

The proposed Project, tentatively planned to be located below and due west of the northwest corner of the Student Health Addition, will be a one-story concrete frame set into the hillside to accommodate the MRI and support services. The exterior of the addition will be brick veneer with cast stone to precisely match and align with the existing Student Health building. The facility will be designed to accommodate a future second-floor addition that would align with the existing gabled end of Student Health to provide a seamless transition to the buildings.

The potential for a future addition will be accommodated by coordinating and allocating space for future vertical circulation needs.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SYSTEM

PROJECT PLANNING REPORT

DATE: APRIL 9 - 10, 2020

X INITIAL REPORT
__ INTERIM REPORT
__ FINAL REPORT
1 REPORT NO.

TO: OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

FROM: OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

1. PROJECT: University Medical Center - Magnetic Resonance Imaging

2. LOCATION: 850 Peter Bryce Boulevard

3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: Requesting in this submittal

4. PROJECT STATUS:

A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN	DATE INITIATED	April-20
	% COMPLETE	0%
	* DATE COMPLETED	May-20
B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN:	DATE INITIATED	May-20
	% COMPLETE	0%
	* DATE COMPLETED	July-20
C. CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS:	DATE INITIATED	July-20
	% COMPLETE	0%
	* DATE COMPLETED	August-20
D. SCHEDULED BID DATE:		September-20

5. CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET:

	CURRENT
A. CONSTRUCTION	$ 3,790,000
B. FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT	$ 150,000
C. OWNER PURCHASED FURNITURE	$ 2,500,000
D. LANDSCAPING	$ 50,000
E. SECURITY/ACCESS CONTROL	$ 25,000
F. TELECOMMUNICATION/DATA	$ 50,000
G. CONTINGENCY* (10%)	$ 384,000
H. UA PROJECT MANAGEMENT FEE** (3%)	$ 126,720
I. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FEE*** (~8.13%)	$ 312,000
J. EXPENSES (SURVEYS, TESTING, INSPECTIONS)	$ 25,000
K. OTHER FEES AND SERVICES (ADVERTISING, PRINTING, POSTAGE)	$ 100,000
L. TOTAL PROJECT COST	**$ 7,512,720**

*Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction and landscaping.
**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
***Architect/Engineer fee is based on 6.5% of the cost of construction and landscaping plus a 1.25 renovation factor.

6. FUNDING/RESOURCES: Office of Academic Affairs Research Reserves - $4,976,882
Office for Research and Economic Development Research Reserves - $2,535,838

7. REMARKS

* FINAL AGENCY APPROVAL

SUBMITTED BY: Kim Leopard

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA®
Division of
Finance and Operations
Vice President

March 12, 2020

Dr. Dana S. Keith
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration
Sid McDonald Hall
500 University Boulevard, East
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Mr. James W. Wilson, III
Chair, Physical Properties Committee
Chairman and CEO
Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC
2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100
Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process – Part 1
University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging
UA Project No.: 018-20-2305

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on February 7, 2019, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging project (Project") located at 850 Peter Bryce Boulevard at a projected total Project cost of $7,512,720.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by February 24, 2020.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University, in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on March 11, 2020 interviewed the following architectural firms:

- TRO Jung/Brannen Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
- Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- Williams Blackstock Architects, P.C., Birmingham, Alabama

The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

1. Williams Blackstock Architects, P.C., Birmingham, Alabama
2. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
3. TRO Jung/Brannen Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise with renovating existing and support spaces.
2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the project.
3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University's schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
2. Approval to submit these rankings for the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Matthew M. Fajack
Vice President for Finance and Operations
and Treasurer

MMF/ccj

Attachment

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers
Michael Lanier
Tim Leopard
Sommer Coleman
Grant Ward

****

The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

****

Dr. Dana S. Keith: **Recommended for Approval**
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

Trustee James W. Wilson, III: **Approval Recommended**
Chair of the Physical Properties Committee

Part 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: April 9 – 10, 2020

Campus: The University of Alabama

Project Name: University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Project Location: 850 Peter Bryce Boulevard

Prepared By: Vince Dooley/Carla Coleman Jones Date: March 12, 2020

Project Type		Range of Construction Costs			
☐	Building Renovations	$		to	$
☒	Building Addition	$	3,500,001	to	$ 4,000,000
☐	New Construction	$		to	$
☐	Campus Infrastructure	$		to	$
☐	Equipment	$		to	$
☐	Other	$		to	$

Building Type – Group I		Percentage of Project
☐	Industrial Building Without Special Facilities	%
☐	Parking Structures/Repetitive Garages	%
☐	Simple Loft Type Structure	%
☐	Warehouses/Utility Type Buildings	%
☐	Other	%

Building Type – Group II		Percentage of Project
☐	Apartments and Dormitories	%
☐	Exhibit Halls	%
☐	Manufacture/Industrial Facilities	%
☐	Office Building (Without Tenant Improvements)	%
☐	Printing Plants	%
☐	Service Garage/Facility	%
☐	Other (Storm Shelter and Multi-Purpose Event)	%

Building Type – Group III	Percentage of Project
☐ College Classroom Facilities	%
☐ Convention Facilities	%
☐ Extended Care Facilities	%
☐ Gymnasiums	%
☐ Hospitals	%
☐ Institutional Dining Halls	%
☐ Laboratories	%
☐ Libraries	%
☐ Medical Schools	%
☐ Medical Office Facilities and Clinics	%
☐ Mental Institutions	%
☐ Office Buildings (with tenant improvements)	%
☐ Parks	%
☐ Playground and Recreational Facilities	%
☐ Public Health Centers	%
☐ Research Facilities	100 %
☐ Stadiums	%
☐ Central Utilities Plants	%
☐ Water Supply and Distribution Plants	%
☐ Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems	%
☐ Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent projects	

Building Type – Group IV	Percentage of Project
☐ Aquariums	%
☐ Auditoriums	%
☐ Art Galleries	%
☐ College Buildings with special features	%
☐ Communications Buildings	%
☐ Special Schools	%
☐ Theaters and similar facilities	%
☐ Other	%

Building Type – Group V	Percentage of Project
☐ Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings	%
☐ Other	%

Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities

Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design or duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fees? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Building Program Development

Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Construction Consultant Services

Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who will perform some of the services normally provided by the Architect requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Multiple Prime Trade Contracts

Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multiple Trade Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Design Build Services

Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will result in a reduction in contracted design services and a corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Architect/Engineer Project Notifications

- ☐ Advertised through State Building Commission
- ☐ Local/State Trade Journals
- ☒ Posted on Campus Web Pages
- ☒ Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms
- ☒ Other: Newspaper and email distribution list

Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)

1. Jason Bigelow, University Architect
2. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
3. Dr. John Higginbotham, Senior Associate Vice President for Research & Economic Development
4. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings & Design
5. Dr. Sharlene Newman, Executive Director, Alabama Life Research Institute
6. Grant Ward, Project Manager

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:

1. TRO Jung/Brannen Architects, Birmingham, Alabama
2. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
3. Williams Blackstock Architects, P.C., Birmingham, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee

1. Williams Blackstock Architects, P.C., Birmingham, Alabama
2. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
3. TRO Jung/Brannen Architects, Birmingham, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

DocuSigned by:
Vince Dooley
0F8E92AE782A492...

Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer

The University of Alabama

Architectural Presentation outline

University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging

UA Project No. 018-20-2305

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS *(Score 1 – 5)*

A. Describe your firm's experience working with other universities and state agencies.
B. Describe your firm's experience working with The University of Alabama ("University").
   - The University desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.
C. The University encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm's approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS *(Score 1 – 10)*

A. Identify and describe the proposed team's experience providing services that are **identical or similar** to this project within the last ten (15) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
   - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information.

   The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.
C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS *(Score 1 – 5)*

A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, and arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).
B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings regarding any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS *(Score 1 – 5)*

A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all the University's insurance requirements? (see attached)
B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA *(Score 1 – 10)*

A. Describe and provide examples of your firm's sensitivity to the massing, architectural design and detailing of a new building within the surrounding context.
B. Describe and provide examples of your firm's ability to provide seamless additions to existing structures creating a harmonious blend.
C. Provide examples of any experience where you have modified an existing structure to add an additional floor(s) to a facility.

Oral Interview Criteria/Focus

University Medical Center – Addition for Magnetic Resonance Imaging

UA Project No. 018-20-2305

Date: March 11, 2020

1. **Welcome/Introduction** ***(time allotted = 5 minutes)***
    a. Design Team
        i. Brief Introduction of your firm and the person or team who is ultimately responsible for project success.

2. **Design Opportunities/Feedback** ***(time allotted = 20 minutes)***
    a. Describe your team's expertise with MRI facilities. Discuss any challenges associated with these types of facilities and lesson learned.
    b. This project will encompass a research component as well as clinical. Discuss your experience with research facilities and your plan to balance the research component with the clinical needs. (i.e. controlled access, protection, and security).
    c. Elaborate on your firm's experience with providing additions to existing facilities that result in a cohesive and seamless exterior solution. (provide examples if applicable)
        i. Include any renovations that involved adding additional floors.
        ii. Indicate any renovation that allowed for future growth of expansion.

3. **Project Design Schedule** ***(time allotted = 10 minutes)***
    a. Provide a proposed design schedule for this project assuming an **early October 2020 bid date**.
    b. Discuss your firm's methodology and standard of care during construction administration phase.

Architect's Evaluation / Ranking Sheet

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA®

Division of
Finance and Operations
Construction Administration

Project Name: **RFQ - Addition for Magnetic Resonance Image**
Project Number: 018-20-2336
Project Type: RFQ
Date: March 11, 2020

Architects/Engineers

Criteria / Assessment	Max pts	TRO Jung/Brannen	Ward Scott Architecture	Williams Blackstock Architects			
Design Opportunities/Feedback	**	**	**	**			
Project Design Schedule	**	**	**	**			
Overall Presentation	**	**	**	**			
	**	**	**	**			
	**	**	**	**			
Firms Overall Score (ordinal)	***	18	39	51			

Final Recommendation per Oral Interview

1. Williams Blackstock Architects (Birmingham, Alabama)
2. Ward Scott Architecture (Tuscaloosa, Alabama)
3. TRO Jung/Brannen (Birmingham, Alabama)

Committee Members

Jason Bigelow
Vince Dooley
Dr. John Higginbotham
Susanna Johnson
Dr. Sharlene Newman
Grant Ward

UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
ADDITION FOR MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

LOCATION MAP