University of Alabama System
Board Rule 415 (2/2005)
Board Submittal Checklist Criteria

* Board Submittal Checklist No. 2
Capital Project – Stage II Submittal/1
(Architect Ranking)/8

Campus: The University of Alabama
Project Name: John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition
UA Project #370-19-1959
Meeting Date: April 11 – 12, 2019

1. Completed Board Submittal Checklist No. 2
2. Transmittal Letter to Chancellor from Campus President requesting the
   project be placed on the agendas for the forthcoming Physical Properties
   Committee and Board of Trustees (or Executive Committee) meetings
3. Proposed Board Resolution requesting approval of Stage II Submittal
   (Architect Ranking, Project Scope and Project Budget; authority to proceed
   with Owner/Architect contract negotiations)
4. Campus correspondence/photos providing supporting project information
5. Completed Executive Summary – Proposed Capital Project. /2
6. Executive Summary – Architect, Engineer, Selection process (include
   Interview Outline). /3, /4, /5
7. Campus letter requesting approval of the ranking of firms and authority to
   submit to the Physical Properties Committee for approval – signed by the
   Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and signed by the UA System Vice
   Chancellor for Finance and Administration. /6
8. Project Planning Report/2
9. Preliminary Business Plan (if applicable)/7
10. Campus map(s) showing Project site

Prepared by: Carla ColemanJones (9/15)
Approved by: Tim Leonard

/1 Reference Tab 3H - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/2 Reference Tab 3E - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/3 Reference Tab 3K - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/4 Reference Tab 3L - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/5 Reference Tab 3M - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/6 Reference Tab 3N - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/7 Reference Tab 3V - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
/8 After completion of negotiations on Owner/Architect Agreement, provide notification to Chair of the Physical
   Properties Committee and UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. Reference Tab 3-O-Board
   Rule 415, Instructional Guide

* Basic documents required for this Board Submittal Package include other supporting materials, correspondence,
  etc., as may be required to fully describe or illustrate project being submitted for approval to Physical Properties
  Committee and Board of Trustees.
RESOLUTION

JOHN AND ANN RHoadS SOFTBALL STADIUM RENOVATION AND ADDITION

WHEREAS, on February 8, 2019, in accordance with Board Rule 415, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved a Stage I submittal for the John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium ("Rhoads Stadium") Renovation and Addition project ("Project") located at 321 Peter Bryce Boulevard Drive; and

WHEREAS, the Project is included in Phase I of the Crimson Standard Facility Master Plan and will allow for the enhancement of Rhoads Stadium in order to remain one of the top facilities in the SEC and to comply with NCAA requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations will be conducted with the top ranked firm following approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:
1. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
2. Bargainer Davis Williams Architects Associated PA, Montgomery, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group Architects, LLC, Montgomery, Alabama

WHEREAS, the Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the University Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards and the principles contained therein; and

WHEREAS, Project will be funded from the Crimson Tide Foundation in the amount of $3,000,000, and will address approximately $848,700 in campus deferred maintenance liabilities; and

WHEREAS, the budget for the Project remains as stipulated below:
BUDGET: PRELIMINARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security/Access Control</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunication/Data</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency* (10%)</td>
<td>$241,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA Project Management Fee** (3%)</td>
<td>$79,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~7.16%)</td>
<td>$171,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses (Geotech, Construction Material Testing and Special Inspections)</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fees and Services (Testing, Advertising, Printing)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COST</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction and landscaping.

**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.

***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.7% of the cost of construction and landscaping plus $10,000 for additional services and reimbursable expenses.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama that Stuart R. Bell, President, Matthew M. Fajack, Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer, or those officers named in the most recent Board Resolutions granting signature authority for The University of Alabama be, and each hereby is, authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board of Trustees to execute an architectural agreement with Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, for architectural services in accordance with Board Rule 415 for this Project.
March 8, 2019

To: Stuart R. Bell

From: Matthew M. Fajack

Subject: Board Item – Action: Stage II submittal: John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition UA Project # 370-19-1959

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified architectural firms for the John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition project ("Project"). The Consultant Selection Committee’s recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Project with the top ranked firms as follows:

1. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
2. Bargainer Davis Williams Architects Associated PA, Montgomery, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group Architects, LLC, Montgomery, Alabama

The Project will be funded from the Crimson Tide Foundation in the amount of $3 Million and will address approximately $848,700 in deferred maintenance liabilities.

This Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards, and the principles contained therein. I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection process – Part 1, Letter of Approval from the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, Interview Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report and Location map for your review. Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action Item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for April 11-12, 2019.

MMF/ccj

pc / with thanks: Michael Rodgers Tim Leopard Brandon Sevedge Matt Ernst
Michael Lanier Finus Gaston Sommer Coleman
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT
BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: April 11–12, 2019

CAMPUS: The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
PROJECT NAME: John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition
PROJECT LOCATION: 321 Peter Bryce Boulevard
ARCHITECT: Requesting in this submittal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THIS SUBMITTAL:</th>
<th>PREVIOUS APPROVALS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☒ Stage II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February 8, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT TYPE</th>
<th>SPACE CATEGORIES</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
<th>GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Building Construction</td>
<td>Athletic Facilities</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>8,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Building Renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Building Addition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL | 100% | 8,030 |

BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security/Access Control</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunication/Data</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency* (10%)</td>
<td>$241,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA Project Management Fee** (3%)</td>
<td>$79,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~7.16%)</td>
<td>$171,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses (Geotech, Construction Material Testing and Special Inspections)</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fees and Services (Testing, Advertising, Printing)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COST</strong></td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction and landscaping.
**UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.7% of the cost of construction and landscaping plus $10,000 for additional services and reimbursable expenses.
ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) COSTS:

(Utilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,372 gsf x ~$1.84/gsf</td>
<td>$ 4,353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL O&M COSTS: $ 4,353

FUNDING SOURCE:

Capital Outlay:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crimson Tide Foundation</td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M Costs: Intercollegiate Athletics</td>
<td>$ 4,353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*O&M costs are based on the addition only and will be funded by Intercollegiate Athletics.

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:

N/A

RELATIONSHIP & ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS:

The John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium (“Stadium”) Renovation and Addition project (“Project”) will enhance the functionality of the Stadium as well as improve fan amenities and the game atmosphere for student athletes and spectators. The Project will also support the improvement of recruitment opportunities for the softball program by attracting top class student athletes to The University of Alabama. The improved facility and Press Box will also continue to attract high profile NCAA events.
Project Summary

John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition

On August 16, 2018, The University of Alabama’s Intercollegiate Athletics department unveiled the Crimson Standard facilities master plan. The John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium (“Rhoads Stadium”) Renovation and Addition project (“Project”), located at 321 Peter Bryce Boulevard, is included in the plan.

In order to keep pace with peer institutions and to host the 2020 NCAA regional tournament, Rhoads Stadium requires several updates to enhance player and guest experiences. As such, this Project will entail renovations to the Press Box and the Clubhouse and an addition of a new umpire locker room, new restrooms and concessions, and two new suites. New hardscape for plaza/entryway along first base will be constructed.

Renovations to the 1,779 gross square foot (gsf) Press Box require upgrades to millwork in order to provide improved work surfaces with access to power and data. Renovations to the 3,879 gsf Clubhouse and lounge will require updates to serve the current roster size and provide equitable team space. The renovation will also include two additional lockers, added partitions and doors at the showers and restrooms and finish updates to the team areas.

The addition of a new dedicated umpire locker room of approximately 341 gsf will address the necessity of the umpires being transported to and from the Stadium before and after games whereby decreasing the amount of fan interaction. The new restrooms and concessions, to be located along the first base line, will occupy approximately 1,599 gsf. Two new suites of approximately 216 gsf each, to be located on the main concourse, will address the demand for additional premium seating. A sidewalk from Campus Drive to the north side of the stadium will allow for an additional entry point during large events.
March 4, 2019

Dr. Dana S. Keith  
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration  
Sid McDonald Hall  
500 University Boulevard, East  
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Mr. James W. Wilson, III  
Chair, Physical Properties Committee  
Chairman and CEO  
Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC  
2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100  
Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process – Part 1  
John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition  
UA Project No: 370-19-1959

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on February 8, 2019, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition project ("Project") at a projected cost of $3,000,000. This project is part of Phase I of the Crimson Standard capital initiative for Alabama Athletics.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by February 11, 2019.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University, in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on February 26, 2019, interviewed the following architectural firms:

- Bargainer Davis Williams Architects Associated PA, Montgomery, Alabama
- PH&J Architects, Inc., Montgomery, Alabama
The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

1. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
2. Bargainer Davis Williams Architects Associated PA, Montgomery, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group Architects, LLC, Montgomery, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise with renovating existing and support space for athletic venues.
2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the project.
3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University’s schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
2. Approval to submit these rankings for the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Matthew M. Fajack  
Vice President for Finance and Operations  
and Treasurer

MMF/ccj

Attachment

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers  
Tim Leopard  
Brandon Sevedge

Michael Lanier  
Fimus Gaston  
Sommer Coleman  
Matt Ernst
The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor’s office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

Dr. Dana S. Keith:  **Recommend for Approval**
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

Trustee James W. Wilson, III:  **Approval Recommended**
Chair of the Physical Properties Committee
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS
BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

Meeting Date: April 11-12, 2019

Campus: The University of Alabama
Project Name: John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition
Project Location: 321 Peter Bryce Boulevard
Prepared By: Vince Dooley/Carla Coleman Jones Date: March 4, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Range of Construction Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Renovations</td>
<td>$2,300,000 to $2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Addition</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Infrastructure</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type – Group I</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Building Without Special Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Structures/Repetitive Garages</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Loft Type Structure</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouses/Utility Type Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type – Group II</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apartments and Dormitories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture/Industrial Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building (Without Tenant Improvements)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Garage/Facility</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Storm Shelter and Multi-Purpose Event)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Type – Group III</td>
<td>Percentage of Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ College Classroom Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Convention Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Extended Care Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Gymnasiums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Hospitals</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Institutional Dining Halls</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Laboratories</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Libraries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Medical Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Medical Office Facilities and Clinics</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Mental Institutions</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Office Buildings (with tenant improvements)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Parks</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Playground and Recreational Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Public Health Centers</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Research Facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Stadiums</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Central Utilities Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Water Supply and Distribution Plants</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type – Group IV</th>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Aquariums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Auditoriums</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Art Galleries</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ College Buildings with special features</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Communications Buildings</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Special Schools</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Theaters and similar facilities</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Building Type - Group V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities

Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design or duplication of facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fees?

- ☐ Yes  ☒ No

### Building Program Development

Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees?

- ☐ Yes  ☒ No

### Construction Consultant Services

Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who will perform some of the services normally provided by the Architect requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees?

- ☐ Yes  ☒ No

### Multiple Prime Trade Contracts

Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multiple Trade Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E?

- ☐ Yes  ☒ No

### Design Build Services

Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will result in a reduction in contracted design services and a corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees?

- ☐ Yes  ☒ No

### Architect/Engineer Project Notifications

- ☐ Advertised through State Building Commission
- ☐ Local/State Trade Journals
- ☒ Posted on Campus Web Pages
- ☒ Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms
- ☒ Other: Newspaper and email distribution list
Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)
1. Matt Ernst, Project Manager
2. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
3. Jason Bigelow, University Architect
4. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings and Design
5. Brandon Sevedge, Director of Athletic Facilities
6. Marie Robbins, Senior Associate Athletics Director

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:
1. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, Montgomery, Alabama
2. PH&J Architects, Inc., Montgomery, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group Architects, LLC, Montgomery, Alabama
4. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee
1. Ward Scott Architecture, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
2. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, Montgomery, Alabama
3. Payne Design Group Architects, LLC, Montgomery, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

[Signature]
Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

[Signature]
Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer
The University of Alabama

Architectural Presentation Outline

John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition

UA Project No. 370-19-0000

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT’S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1-5)

A. Describe your firm’s experience working with other universities and state agencies.

B. Describe your firm’s experience working with The University of Alabama.
   a. The University desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.

C. The University encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm’s approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT’S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1-5)

A. Identify and describe the proposed team’s past experience providing A/E services that are identical or similar to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.

B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
   a. The Owner’s name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information. The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.

C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1-5)

A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT’S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Score 1-5)

A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the University’s insurance requirements? (see attached)

B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?
FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1-5)

A. What is your design approach or methodology and standard of care with renovation projects?
B. Describe some of your design or construction challenges and solutions you may have encountered during major renovations to athletic facilities.
C. Discuss your firm’s ability to meet aggressive design and construction schedule.
Oral Interview Criteria/Focus
John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovations and Addition
   UA Project No. 370-19-1959
   Date: February 26, 2019

1. Welcome/Introduction *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
   a. Design Team
      i. Brief Introduction of your firm and the person or team who is ultimately responsible for project success.

2. Design Opportunities/Feedback - *(time allotted = 20 minutes)*
   a. Please review the project programming information and provide design feedback and ideas that you feel could enhance this project.

3. Project Design Schedule *(time allotted = 15 minutes)*
   a. Provide a proposed design and construction schedule for this project factoring the following key target dates listed below. Elaborate on your strategy to keep this project on schedule.
      i. Stage II Architect Selection        April 12, 2019
      ii. Construction Start Date          September 2019
      iii. Construction Completion         January 31, 2020

   b. Discuss your firm’s approach with design alternates and phasing projects.

4. Questions & Answers *(time allotted = 5 minutes)*
TO: OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

FROM: OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

1. PROJECT: John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium Renovation and Addition

2. LOCATION: 321 Peter Bryce Boulevard

3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: Requesting in this submittal

4. PROJECT STATUS:
   A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN
      DATE INITIATED (April-19)
      % COMPLETE
      0%
      DATE COMPLETED (May-19)

   B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN
      DATE INITIATED (Projected) (May-19)
      % COMPLETE
      0%
      DATE COMPLETED (Projected) (June-19)

   C. CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
      DATE INITIATED (Projected) (June-19)
      % COMPLETE
      0%
      DATE COMPLETED (Projected) (August-19)

   D. SCHEDULED BID DATE: August-19

5. CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET:
   A. CONSTRUCTION $2,400,000
   B. LANDSCAPING $15,000
   C. SECURITY/ACCESS CONTROL $25,000
   D. TELECOMMUNICATION/DATA $25,000
   E. CONTINGENCY* (10%) $241,500
   F. UA PROJECT MANAGEMENT FEE** (3%) $79,695
   G. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FEE*** (-7.16%) $171,805
   H. EXPENSES (GEO TECH, CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TESTING AND SPECIAL INSPECTIONS) $32,000
   I. OTHER FEES AND SERVICES (TESTING, ADVERTISING, PRINTING) $10,000
   J. TOTAL PROJECT COST $3,000,000

   *Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction and landscaping.
   **UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, landscaping and contingency.
   ***Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.7% of the cost of construction and landscaping plus $10,000 for additional services and reimbursable expenses.

6. FUNDING/RESOURCES: Crimson Tide Foundation - $3,000,000

7. REMARKS

* FINAL AGENCY APPROVAL
SUBMITTED BY: [Signature]
LOCATION MAP

John and Ann Rhoads Softball Stadium
Renovation and Addition